

Editorial Comment:

At this stage I can say the following:

1. The paper has been radically improved by the reviewers' recommendations and I thank them for their efforts.
2. In this state, the following changes should be made:
 - The paper must be arranged according to the instructions at:
<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page/general-guideline-for-authors>
 - Abstract should not exceed 300 words in length.
 - For text uses Arial, normal, 10 font, justified, according to instructions, no empty spaces, and no differences from one page to another
 - References must be numbered in the order they appear in the text.
 - Do not start talking with: Table 1 shows ... Figure 1 shows ... but ... Urea treatments had slightly increased the lignin content in the retina kenaf fibers (figure 1)

Authors' Feedback:

1. I have carefully corrected the topic sentences that begin with such statement as 'Table 1 shows..... Or figure 2 shows.....etc...
2. I have also duly followed the general guideline for authors.
3. I ensured that abstract DOES NOT exceed 300 words.
4. I did delete all empty spaces and also ensured there were NO differences in the text format (font size, alignment, space etc) as stipulated.
5. I have corrected $P < 0.05$ to $P = .05$ and all references were accordingly rearranged as recommended by the author's guideline.