

Editorial Comments:

The manuscript is substantially improved after taking into account the suggestions of the reviewers. However, after going through the manuscript I feel that certain changes are necessary.

1. The presentation could be improved. Some sentences are telegraphic in nature which should be corrected.
2. Some sentences in the introduction are repeats. These should be removed.
3. Perform mean separations and present the results in the figures and tables.
4. Whenever results of statistical analysis are mentioned in the results, present the F values and the level of significance. Similarly, relationships should always be mentioned after performing statistical analysis.

I had marked some of my comments directly on the annotated manuscript. Looking forward to the revised manuscript at the earliest.

Authors' Feedback:

1. Presentation improved as suggested in the manuscript.
2. Repetitions in manuscript removed as suggested.
3. Mean separations included in the figures and tables.
4. Level of significance mentioned in results as suggested. Relationship in light of statistical analysis has been already followed in the results section of the manuscript. Unnecessary repetitions have been avoided for easy understanding of the readers.
5. Comments marked directly on the manuscript have been addressed.
6. L78 – it is mentioned that year was considered as fixed factor.
7. The word (sink) has been rightly written in manuscript indicates source and sink relationship and it is correct.