

SDI Review Form 1.6

SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org



Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_32232
Title of the Manuscript:	Short Duration, Perennial Grasses in Low Rainfall Sites in Montana: Deriving Growth Parameters and Simulating with a Process-based Model
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
--	---------------------------	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<i>The Abstract is clear and precise, but does not mention the duration of the study.</i> <i>Introduction. I think that Introduction is understandable and clear. So purpose and objective are scientifically appropriate.</i> <i>Material and Methods. OK. The methodology used is correctly described.</i> <i>Results OK. But I think the topic 3.3 First paragraph mentions a possible joint result of Figures 1 to 3 and Tables 1 and 2, for me this was not clear, perhaps it was necessary to explain these figures a little better.</i> <i>Discussion Ok</i> Conclusion: Ok	For the first paragraph of topic 3.3 (now it is lines 297-303.) we have expanded this discussion to better explain the figures.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	About Bibliography 11 of the 14 cited references are not current. As well as 8 of the 14 references are from the same main author.	Additional references have been added to make them more current. Most of these additional references are not from the main author.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Figures 3a and 3b do not follow the same pattern used in previous.	Figures have been corrected to follow the same pattern throughout.