



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_32232
Title of the Manuscript:	Short Duration, Perennial Grasses in Low Rainfall Sites in Montana: Deriving Growth Parameters and Simulating with a Process-based Model
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Authors presented in this study the usage of growth parameters from perennial grasses to simulate plant growth using the ALMANAC model. The manuscript is well written, straightforward and descriptive. However, authors should better describe the use of the ALMANAC model in the Introduction. What does ALMANAC mean? Rephrase Lines 146-147. Rephrase and better explain Line 95. What are the k values? (e.g. line 158).	ALMANAC model (including what the acronym means) now better described on lines 56-70. Sentence on lines 146-147 rephrased on new lines 249-250. Sentence on line 95 rephrased on the new line 165
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Some comments: change "project" and "study" (e.g. Line 34). Delete "General" at line 142.	"Project" changed to "study" on line 34 (new line 47). "General" deleted from line 142 (Line 237 in new version).
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		