



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_32129
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of rootstock type and scion cultivar on grafting success and growth of mango (Mangifera indica) seedlings.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Graft take data (Table 2) - not clear what the numbers represent. The '% germination' of rootstock seed is not an inherent part of the grafting experiment, and should not be included, in my opinion, in the correlations Table. Please add 1 – 2 photos of the experimental set up and grafted seedlings. Write a Discussion, even a short one. It should be emphasized the this a very preliminary screening experiment; a full evaluation of the rootstocks must be conducted on mature fruiting trees, taking into account yield and fruit quality data.	Clarification done Per cent germination was not included in correlations Table. One photo was added True. The seedlings were transplanted in the field to continue with observations including phenology, yield and fruit quality for ten years.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The full name of the species is <i>Mangifera indica</i> L. Add L.	Accepted and changed
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This is a technical report without any significant messag	The information is very relevant in the region. There is scanty information on the subject.