



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_31813
Title of the Manuscript:	Enlist Weed Control Systems for Controlling Horseweed (Conyza canadensis) in Enlist Soybean
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Some issues needs to be addressed as ❖ Title if suited needs to be changed. "chemical weed controlling for horseweed (<i>conyza canadensis</i>) in enlist soybean " which location was kept untreated and height denisty of that location test of significance is not shown any where in tables needs to discussed and values needs to be shown as statistical analysis was carried out with years short and to the point conclusion is required reference not needed for your conclusion	The US EPA has registered specific product for use on both Enlist crops and Xtend Crops. The labels have been specifically written to prevent use of previous formulations on these crops due to the potential for off target movement and injury to sensitive and susceptible plants growing adjacent the crop. The conditions of requirements include additional testing to evaluate tank mixes with adjuvants and other pesticides to validate that the drift reductions of the Enlist products have not been altered. It is therefore our position that we need continue to communicate to growers that the weed control programs must align with these requirements. There we would request no change in the title. Added line to specify how plant height and density were taken. Footnote on the means separation was added to each table with significant differences. Moved the paragraphs in the original conclusion to the discussion and added a one paragraph conclusion.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		