



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_31324
Title of the Manuscript:	Forage Resources in the Semi-Arid Region of Setif : Diagnosis and Status
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Abstract should be in passage form. 2. Need no goals in Methods and materials. 3. Paper is theoretical. 4. Research is survey method. 5. Data needs authentication. 6. Data too old.	First of all I would like to thank you for your very pertinent and constructive comments, but I would like to make some clarification on certain points, the 3rd and 4th points: I would like to tell you that indeed this work consists of a long series of surveys carried out not without difficulties for several months on the ground, and therefore it does not make it a theoretical work. I think that it is not obligatory to pass through the laboratory so that a work can be qualified as "experimental", especially in our agronomic field or in all that concerns agriculture research; Here the field work is more important, because it gets the hands on the real problems and the real constraints that oppose the development and the improvement of the vegetable and animal productions. It can represent a platform on which one can base oneself in order to be able to find solutions. For the fifth and sixth points, firstly, the fact that the data are somewhat old does not in any way affect their authenticity and I guarantee you that these data are very real, this was one of the parts of my thesis work of Doctorate degree in 2013. This was a situation described in the study area to highlight the importance of a deep forage deficit to feed livestock. This situation is still rife. Food is undoubtedly one of the main constraints to the growth of livestock in Algeria. This fodder deficit has a negative impact on animal productivity and drives a heavy reliance on import of animal products such as dairy and meat products. This was a situation in the study area which was attempted to describe the importance of the deficit in livestock production because of the deep deficit in drilling resources. Food is undoubtedly one of the major constraints to the growth of animal husbandry in Algeria. This forage deficit has a negative impact on animal productivity and results in massive use of animal products such as dairy and meat products. I can provide you with a list of the farms consulted and surveyed so that you have an idea of the work that was done. However it would take me a long time to go and solicit these operators to provide me with a certificate of authenticity.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Need Revision	