



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_31318
Title of the Manuscript:	Preference and forage quality of 13 cultivars of forage barley and 2 cultivars of oats when grazed by sheep
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	I want to congratulate the authors on a paper well put together. A thorough scientific approach was followed. My only concern is that nowhere in the Methodology was it documented the way in which herbage mass was determined.	Thank you very much. Herbage mass collection was made clearer in the methodology section.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		