



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_31206
Title of the Manuscript:	FARMERS' PERCEPTION ON MAIZE PRODUCTION IN THE HUMID FOREST AREA OF CAMEROON
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Abstract to be reorganized – Delete the sixth sentence in the abstract since it does not add any value. The first two sentences of the abstract should be the seventh and eight sentences. The other parts of the abstract are ok.	Most of these observations were taking in account in the new version of the article
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Conclusion – There is use of unnecessary words in the conclusion. There is no need starting with in the study -----go to the point. Or from these results.	Conclusion was organized and unnecessary words were deleted. All observations were integrated.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		