



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_30993
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of compost amendment on plant growth and yield of radish (<i>Raphanus sativus</i>)
Type of the Article	<u>Original Research Article</u>

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Article is missing the add value. 2. No enough measurements were estimated (e.g, physical and chemical properties of pot substrate, chemical analysis of compost, root volume and weight, nutrient content of radish). 3. The scientific base of treatments is not clear enough, otherwise the author mentioned the present but regarding to what the recommended, volume, weight or what. 4. In general, the article seems to be experiment report not scientific article.	-Physical and chemical properties of pot substrate has been included in the manuscript. -Chemical analysis of compost has been included in the manuscript. -Measurements such as nutrient content, root volume and weight of radish were beyond the scope of the study. - The scientific basis of the study was stated, that is the effect of different levels of compost on the growth and yield of radish.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1 – the introduction and discussion is ok	