



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_30789
Title of the Manuscript:	Survey and exclusion study to explore for natural enemies in Ghana for the Control of Aerial yam (<i>Dioscorea bulbifera</i> L.) in Florida, USA
Type of the Article	Original Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Only 90% (out of 500 farmers) interviewed knew the plant and 60% farmers admitted seeing damage holes on the leaves but could not attribute the damage to any defoliator, how the survey data could be trusted? 2. Table 1 should be reorganised. 3. There are so many species attributes to <i>D. bulbifera</i> damage at difference parts (Table 2); I think it is not easy to know the main enemy for this plant. 4. The presenting data were preliminary results, the potential for biological control on these species is still unclear.	1. The farmers could be right because insects we found were nocturnal 2. Agree table has formatting problem 3. The enemy can be determined by level of damage 4. Doubts cleared
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The photos for protected and unprotected fields will provided a good illustration on the control efforts.	Photos for protected and unprotected fields added
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1. Overall, needs some revised is provided. There are some suggestions were given in attached pdf file. 2. The authors have to request the assistance of a native English speaker for improvement concerning the language (rather numerous minor mistakes in writing style). 3. It is the author's responsibility to check the accuracy of all references before re-submitting the manuscript.	1. Comment not clear, We will check the pdf file 2. we will make effort 3. Sure, will check before re-submitting