SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Experimental Agriculture International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JEAI_30778**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Physico-Chemical Properties and Resistance of Ten Bambara groundnut (Vigna subterranean) Varieties to Attack by Callosobruchus maculatus (Fabricius) (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) in the Sudano-sahelian and Sudano-guinean Zones of Cameroon**
Type of the Article	**Original Research paper**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**1. Specify the environmental conditions in line 85** **2. Please move table 1 to result.** **3. Please reconcile the statement in line 92 and the duration of studies in your abstract.** **4. Please add some experiment pictures of your methodology and results (degree of resistance or susceptibility to the pest); they would be convincing.** **5. Please make your intension clearer in line 98-99.** **6. Correct "Analyze" to "Analysis" in line 163** **7. Some of the content of your discussion should be taken to literature review. You are supposed to discuss your findings, compare it with others and highlight the significance of your results.**	Corrections were done and highlighted through the paper.
Minor REVISION comments	The methodology is supposed to have mimicked the storage conditions of the nuts. Please reflect how you assayed for the write up in line 306.	Modification was done for line 306.
Optional/General comments	The work in an interesting one. The corrections above should be effected in order to improve the quality.	