



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                                   |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a> |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JEAI_30512                                                     |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Agro-morphological variability of maize cultivars in south Benin  |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article                                         |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                            | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)                                                                                                                                                                                              |
|--------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments | <p>1.To find out the best parameter and the best genotype, you have to incorporate-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>I. Mean performance table with the DMRT or Tukey's test</li> <li>II. Include genotypic and phenotypic variance and coefficient study</li> <li>III. Include heritability and genetic advance study</li> <li>IV. Must include genotypic and phenotypic correlation study</li> </ul> <p>2. Follow the author guidelines</p> <p>3. Must correlate the result, discussion, conclusion and abstract part with the corresponding table and figure</p> | <p><b>1. We did not plane to use those parameters in this work since the trials were made at one location. Specially heritability and genetic advance. We think that is suggestion further works!</b></p> <p><b>2. We tried already to follow the author guidelines. See the reviewed manuscript</b></p> <p><b>3. we hope the reviewed manuscript will be more attractive</b></p> |
| <b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Title of the figure and table is not clear.</li> <li>➤ No clear indication of the objectives and the future benefits</li> <li>➤ Try to summarize the data in short</li> <li>➤ The writing part is not reader friendly</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | <p>-We correct some titles of figures and tables</p> <p>-we think that our objectives are clearly indicated</p> <p>-what kind of data should we summarized?</p> <p>-we don't understand what that means</p>                                                                                                                                                                       |
| <b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments    | The study is well but it needs improvement.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |