



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_30258
Title of the Manuscript:	SOYBEAN YIELD SUBMITTED LATE NITROGEN FOLIAR APPLICATION
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. References, both in text and reference section, are not according to the format of the journal. Author may be asked to revise following the format of the journal and resubmit. 2. Presentation and English is very poor. Author may be asked to check and modify, for example see line 120.	- References have been revised and modified. -In line 120 the sentence: "According Rosolem & Boaretto (1989), the application of considerable amounts foliar nitrogen may run into the problem of the occurrence of toxicity symptoms, which can be minimized with the choice of nutrient source, the nozzle and spray volume, as well as the time of application." Has been modified to the phrase: "According to Rosolem & Boaretto (1989), the foliar application of considerable levels of nitrogen may cause toxicity, which can be minimized by the choice of the nutrient source, the nozzle and spray volume, as well as the time of application."
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		