



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_30154
Title of the Manuscript:	Mega Environment Identification for Soybean [Glycine max L. Merrill] in Zambia
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	• The author should present the abstract in the acceptable format • The main and specific objectives of the study should be stated explicitly • It will be good to describe the distinct environments...ie climatic conditions: rainfall regimes, temperature, expanse etc...information provided here is just for location of sites...are the sites a representation of mega environments?...if not the title should be recasted • Data on yield, plant height, 100 seed weight has to include...a table on the data is missing • The author should include soils data in the manuscript and discuss results with a proper soils dimension reflected in the discussions • Revise some arguments under discussion	Most of the comments raised have been attended unless those am unable to understand the requirement.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Grammar Caps versus lower case for text	Attended to
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		The title has been changed to come out more appropriate, hence the main objective also being modified as per arguments in the reviews.