



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_15759
Title of the Manuscript:	Effective Administrative Measures to Sustainable Forestry Development In South-West Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Conclusion drawn is very crispy need to be elaborated with pragmatic action plan to implement the desired / required.	Conclusion drawn has been worked upon, It is now better than the initial paper.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Review of literature may be incorporated citing pertinent works already published	More literature has been incorporated.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	By and large standard piece of research work	Thanks for your comment.