



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_15759
Title of the Manuscript:	Effective Administrative Measures to Sustainable Forestry Development In South-West Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Most of the references used are very old and you have not used updated reference materials which may improve your discussion and argumentation 2. The article focus on effective administrative measures to sustainable forestry development, however you have collected data mainly from one source (forest officers) not including other important stakeholders in sustainable forestry development, private sectors, NGOs and local community 3. The paper have not provided general laws and policies framework for effective administrative measures and impact analysis 4. Great assistance should come from secondary sources of data than just questionnaire alone, this will help in examination of measures being taken and impacts to forest development	More references have been included The forest officers interviewed are the administrative head of the zones. Hence, they have all the information required for the work. That was not the main focus of the paper. However, the editor may recast the title of the paper.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Do the English grammar check to make some of the sentences more clear (I have tried to highlight some aspects in the text)	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		