



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_29310
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors Affecting Rice Production in Awka North Local Government, Anambra State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. The citation should be Ayinde <i>et al.</i>, 2009 2. Let the objectives be written in a continuous sentence instead of numbering. 3. Line 86 to be changed: Apart from the factor analysis, conventional tools like percentage and mean score were used for arriving valid conclusions. 4. Standard units should used and it should be common throughout the paper. 5. Table 1: Portion Cultivated with Rice (Ha) shall be replaced with share of rice in total cropped area (ha). 6. Line 144: delete recycled 7. Line 156: big gap between two words in the sentence. 8. Line 159: Wrong citation style 9. In methodology it was stated that Likert scale was used and there is no description of the results in the Results and Discussion section. 10. The results were not presented across farm sizes and region wise where the respondents have been selected. This will give information on regional dynamics and constraints. 11. Several sentences in the manuscript have grammatical errors. One table should be given on the quantity of inputs used like amount of seeds, fertilizers, labour hours,	Corrections were made. Likert type scale was used to collect the data that were subjected to factor analysis. The work is not a comparative study. These are not what the research did.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	tractor hours etc used for rice production apart from giving only the frequencies. This also should be given across farm sizes to understand the dynamics.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	A lot of grammatical errors and missing punctuation found in the manuscript like line no: 11, 15, 16, 22, 100, 103, 119, 127, 132, 141, 148, 174, 187, 188, 192, 252, etc.	Corrections were made.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The authors have made an attempt to identify the factors affecting rice production in Awka North Local Government, Anambra State. Such type of studies is quite helpful to researchers and policy makers for drawing policy inferences. The paper needs some clarifications and huge modifications. Overall, the paper has sufficient reason to be rejected/ submitted again for review after incorporating the suggestions and correcting a lot of typographical errors in the manuscript.	