

Editor's Comment:

Reviewer (1):

Miguel Aguilar Cortes

He stated that the paper is a well structured work and with the wright information, the graphs are easy to read and and the data represent the objective of the research and he has no problem accepting the paper as presented.

Accept As It Is: (>9-10)

Reviewer (2):

Raúl Leonel Grijalva Contreras

Abstract

Inside abstract write what means FB, MB, OB and MH.

Line 50. Write 1.5 cm not 0.015 m

He declared references Is **O.K.**, but there are only two recent references (Carvalho et al, 2016 and Snowden et al., 2014.

No Author (s) comments on these remarks

Reviewer (3)

José Alfredo Villagómez-Cortés

He stated that the paper is well planned and executed. Discussion and interpretation of results are also fine, but he suggested in the abstract describing briefly the statistical methods, and providing a measurement of variation in in tables (i.e. standard deviation or standard error.)

Degree (9)

No Author (s) comments or feed backs on these remarks in the attached review forms.

Comments

With reference to reviewer 2

the Authors have to define FB, MB, OB and MH. in the abstract they are defined as follows:

first bloom (FB); milld bloom (MB) ; first open boll (OB); match head square (MH)

***These abbreviations are also defined in the abstract of the attached paper.
It is also written 1.5 cm instead of 0.015 m***

References

Ref. : Snowden et al., 2014. is O.K. it is mentioned in the text and the list or references

***Ref.: Carvalho et al, 2016 it is mentioned only in the list of references, so the authors have to mention
this reference in the text or to be omitted from the list of references.***

This is also declared in the the attached text.

In conclusion I recommend the paper to be published after doing these minor corrections given the attached text.

Editor's Details:

Prof. Abdel Razik Ahmed Zidan
Hydraulics and Water Resources, Mansoura University, Egypt