



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_4049
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth and dry matter partitioning of common bean (<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> , L) genotypes as influenced by aluminum toxicity
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:
(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Reviewer: This study is focused on illustrating the effects of different concentrations of exchangeable aluminium on growth and dry matter partitioning of two common bean genotypes grown on lime-treated and lime-untreated acid soils. And the topic of the paper is within the scope of the Journal. However, there were some parts in this manuscript that should be revised as you see below:</p> <p>The reference section must be consistent and prepared based on ACSJ format.</p> <p>Introduction section is not sufficient, some references what the authors cited are more than 10 years old, rewrite introduction section and review with new references.</p> <p>A number of typo, syntax grammatical and punctuational mistakes can be found in your</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>manuscript (especially for the part of abstract), please try to improve the readability of your manuscript as much as possible by consulting with a English language expert.</p> <p>The method used was short of innovate. Please change that if it is possible.</p> <p>Rewrite the discussion section.</p> <p>The abstract section should simply summarize the article, but yours was so long and detailed. So please have a big review.</p> <p>The work is of interest but not yet ready for publication. So a major revision should be done.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The reference section must be consistent and prepared based on AJEA format.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Peng Liu
Department, University & Country	Zhejiang Normal University, China