



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_29333
Title of the Manuscript:	Screening of maize (Zea mays L.) genotypes for adaptation on contrasted acid soils in the humid forest zone of Cameroon
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. On what basis you have selected 52 inbred lines [40T (Tolerant), 10 AT (Averagely Tolerant) and 2 S (Susceptible)] and actually tolerant for which stress? 2. Graphs: Figure-2&3 are not clear in % of yields loss. Provide data and graphs in excel. 3. In conclusion you have mentioned 53 inbred(In material & methods 52 you have mentioned) 4. References: Arrange in journal format	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Page no.3: Ebolowa replace with Nkoemvone. (Use the same. First para: you have written-Nkolbisson).	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	K. Narasimha swamy
Department, University & Country	Botany Department, Osmania University, India