SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJEA_29059**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Screening of Disease Resistant Varieties against Brown Leaf Spot Disease of Paddy**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**1. The paper did not provide abundant evidence showing that the isolate inoculated in rice was the pathogen causing brown leaf spot disease of Paddy because it lacks purification process and the identification of the pathogen was carried out only on the basis of the colony characteristics.** **2. The paper did not provide a comprehensive and thorough research background for the present study or how the study advanced from the previous work in this area in introduction.** **3. The discussion part mostly presented a list of results, without deep analysis.** **4. The *Figure* and *Table* in the paper were nonstandard and there was no collating of data.**	
Minor REVISION comments	**1. Sufficient details of the methods should be provided.** **2. Format of Reference must be consistent.**	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Fen Gao**
Department, University & Country	**Institute of Applied Chemistry，Shanxi University，China**