



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_29059
Title of the Manuscript:	Screening of Disease Resistant Varieties against Brown Leaf Spot Disease of Paddy
Type of Article:	Original Research Paper

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
I was surprised that Authors carelessly submitted manuscript and do not revised manuscript according to comments given. ➤ Title and Abstract not corrected. ➤ Page 1 & Line 5: Give the name of season i.e. kharif or Rabi in which study was performed. ➤ Page 1 & Line 30-33: Sentence incomplete and does not reflect correct meaning (havoc???) ➤ Page 2 & Line 52-53: Sentence does not reflect correct meaning ➤ Page 2 & Line 63-64: Do not use term I. ➤ Page 2 & Line 70 & 74: Authors said that 25 varieties were used in this study, then here said that 25 varieties were selected in pot and field condition, then how many varieties were planted in pot and field conditions? Sentences do not reflect true meaning, correct it. ➤ Page 3 & Line 82: Give the title of table and No. In this table if score will come as 10.5, 20.5 etc. then what authors do? Explain clearly. Correct it by using symbol < & > ➤ Page 3 & Line 88-94: Sentence does not reflect correct meaning. Reform sentences. ➤ Result: In result section authors do not discuss the result year wise and not discuss about table 1-6. Discuss result year wise with % of DI. OR Combine the section result and Discussion ➤ Give a sentence of Conclusion ➤ Reference: Author does not follow same pattern of writing reference in text as well reference section both. Correct it. ➤ All the references are not crossed check. Few were present in text but not cited in reference section and vice-versa. ➤ Discussion is most important section of the paper. Presently discussion section is not attractive/ weaker due to absence of references and proper explanation. Here author justify and correlate his results to other authors worked in same direction. Here, author give results continuously without discussing his results with already published results, and give some reference with results in separate paragraph which give no meaning discussion in this study. ➤ In the present study author screen only 25 rice varieties which are very less in	



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

number. Here author explain that on which basis or criteria he selected only 25 rice varieties. In this study author select these varieties from Allahabad district of U.P. India, why they choose only one district not other nearer district. If they selected one district Allahabad then in this district only 25 rice varieties were grown or more than that grown, if more than that grown then why author select these 25 varieties.

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anil Kumar Singh
Department, University & Country	Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Instt. of Ag. Sc., B.H.U., Varanasi, U.P. India