



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_29013
Title of the Manuscript:	BULKING AND YIELD OF CASSAVA (<i>Manihot esculenta</i> Crantz) FOLLOWING APPLICATION OF ORGANIC AND INORGANIC FERTILIZERS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1] The abstract did not have a conclusion. Readers will want to know from the abstract what conclusions were arrived and if possible recommendations. Note <i>A typical abstract must have:</i> <i>1. an introduction (defining the problem)</i> <i>2. methodology</i> <i>3. results obtained</i> <i>4. conclusions arrived</i> 2] There was no nutrient composition analysis on tubers, however the main objective of the study to study the effects of NPK fertilizer, poultry manure and their combined application on growth, yield parameters and nutrient composition of cassava. Either you modify the main objective or add the nutrient composition result and analysis. 3] Was the drying done at 70°C for 72 hours or till a constant mass is obtained? You may dry for 72 without obtaining constant mass depending on the efficiency of the dryer.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Isaac Kojo Arah
Department, University & Country	Agro-Enterprise Development, Ho Polytechnic, Ghana