



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_28550
Title of the Manuscript:	Potato Coring Method of Sampling Potato Tubers to detect Ralstonia solanacearum
Type of the Article	Method Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The text is well written and almost without mistakes. But I have problems about the methodology used. An experimental method required the comparison. Coring method is compared with what method? We do not find this answer in the document. INTRODUCTION You wrote MoA instead of MOA. 2. MATERIALS & METHODS After this subtitle" Sampling and sample preparation ", you need to get off the line before starting the first sentence. You must describe NCM ELISA, PCR, qPCR and LAMP methods. You must also compare coring method with the old method of samples preparation. Otherwise you have just compared NCM ELISA, PCR, qPCR and LAMP. Does the old method include the coring method?	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Claude Ahouangninou</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Agricultural University of Kétou, and University of Abomey-Calavi, Benin</i>