SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJEA_24749**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Design and Validation of a Decision Support System for Runoff Water Harvesting and Irrigation - a Case Study of Beans at Ukwe, Malawi**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:
(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Manuscript was written well done. Some Tables is not clear like Table 3. So all tables should rewrite as a table 1. Section of references should be check. There are some mistakes about writing rules in that section. The references section should be written in order to alphabetical order.	
Optional/General comments	It is an acceptable form.	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.
Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Atilgan Atilgan**
Department, University & Country	**Department of Agricultural Structures and Irrigation, Suleyman Demirel University,Turkey**