

Original Research Article

Feed Intake, Weight Gain and Carcass Characteristics of West African Dwarf

Sheep fed *Moringa oleifera*, *Gliricidia sepium* or Cassava Fodder as Supplements to

Panicum maximum

Abstract

The performance and carcass characteristics of WAD sheep fed *Panicum maximum* supplemented with *Moringa oleifera*, *Gliricidia sepium* or cassava foliage, were investigated in a randomized complete block and completely randomized design experiments respectively. Twenty four growing WAD sheep (10.7kg average live weight) were randomly allotted to four dietary treatments: 1: 100 % *P. maximum* (control), 2: 75 % *P. maximum* + 25 % *M. oleifera*, 3: 75 % *P. maximum* + 25 % *G. sepium*, 4: 75 % *P. maximum* + 25 % Cassava leaves. DM intake ($\text{g/kgW}^{0.75}/\text{day}$) ranged between 74.6 for treatment 4 and 92.7 for treatment 3. CP intake in treatments 2 and 3 were similar and higher than in treatments 1 and 4. Weight gain ranged between 6.53 g/day to 12.74 g/day for treatments 1 and 4 respectively while feed conversion ratio was least in treatment 2. Average dressing percentage was 33.9% and there was no significant difference in the carcass characteristics among the various treatments. It was concluded that *Moringa oleifera* is a suitable alternative to *Gliricidia sepium* as supplement in small ruminant diets.

Keywords: Supplement, browse plants, sheep intake, weight gain

Introduction

In most developing countries, the major feed resources for ruminant animals are natural grasslands which are becoming increasingly unavailable due to land-use and unpredictable drought which constrain the animals to the insufficient, low-quality

available herbage. The use of crop residues and agro-industrial waste and by-products as supplements to natural grazing are of great importance in these areas but this is limited by the nutritive characteristics of these feeds. They have high fibre content, low metabolizable energy, low levels of crude protein and mineral nutrients as well as low to moderate digestibility. Their daily intakes are often limited to less than 10g dry matter/kg live weight (Jayarasuriya, 2002). The ability of the ruminant animal to meet its energy and protein requirements from such low quality and relatively indigestible feed is thus greatly impaired. It is this factor more than anything else that is largely responsible for the low productivity of indigenous breeds of small ruminants in less developed countries.

Leng (1997) have proposed that ruminant feeding systems based on poor quality tropical forages, crop residues or agro-industrial waste and by-products, in which protein is one of the major limiting factors, may require supplementation with protein-rich feeds to maintain an efficient rumen ecosystem that will stimulate nutrient intake and improve animal performance. Foliage of some browse trees and shrubs have been identified as having the potential to serve as supplementary feeds in small ruminant feeding systems. This is because they grow all year round and their nutritive values do not fluctuate as much as that of grasses in different seasons. The potentials of trees and shrubs as alternative fodder resources in livestock nutrition have thus attracted the attention of researchers worldwide.

Gliricidia sepium is one of the browse species which have been well studied for its potentials as supplement in sheep and goats diets. However, its limitations as ruminants fodder resource are well documented (Baumer, 1992; M'tenga *et al.* 1994; Preston, 1992). Foliage of cassava (*Manihot esculentum*) is produced abundantly in

Nigeria and is rich in protein (25%), ash (9%) and fat (12.7%) but low in crude fibre (11%); the protein is of good quality, and the amino acid profile apparently compares favourably with that of soybean meal (Smith, 1992). However, risk of cyanide toxicity and lack of adequate information on the effect of frequent foliage harvest on tuber yield has hindered its effective integration into ruminant feeding systems.

Moringa oleifera is a promising new species with potential for supporting improved small ruminant productivity due to its palatability, high nutritive value and precocious growth (Fadiyimu *et al.* 2011). Another distinct advantage of *Moringa* is that it doubles as feed and food, thus the chances of its adoption especially in areas where it is relished as food is very high compared with strictly forage plants like *Gliricidia*. However, there are few reports in the literature on feeding trials with small ruminants using the species (Murro *et al.* 2003). This study is therefore conducted to compare the performance of WAD sheep fed *Panicum maximum* supplemented with *M. oleifera*, *G. sepium* or cassava foliage with a view to discovering a feeding strategy that will enhance intake, weight gain and lean production by the animals.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was carried out at the Federal College of Agriculture, Akure (7°16'N, 5°12'E) located in the rain forest ecological zone of Nigeria. Twenty four growing West African Dwarf (WAD) sheep (12 males and 12 females) with an average initial weight of 10.7 kg were used in the study. They were quarantined for four weeks during which period they were treated against ecto-parasites using diazintol, dewormed with broad spectrum anthelmintics (Levamisole) and Ivomec (against mange) and prevented against infections using antibiotic injection (Kepro-oxytet LA) and Peste de

petits ruminantes (PPR) vaccine. After the quarantine period the animals were housed individually in concrete floored pens measuring about 1.8m² with provision for feeding and watering troughs. Wood shaving was spread on the floor as litter which was changed every 2 weeks.

The feeding trial was a randomized complete block experiment while the carcass characteristics study was a completely randomized design experiment. After balancing for sex and body weight, the animals were divided into four groups of six animals (3 males and 3 females) each. Each group was randomly allotted to one of the following dietary treatments:

- Treatment 1: 100 % *Panicum maximum* (control)
- Treatment 2: 75 % *P. maximum* + 25 % *M. oleifera*
- Treatment 3: 75 % *P. maximum* + 25 % *G. sepium*
- Treatment 4: 75 % *P. maximum* + 25 % Cassava leaves

Fresh *Panicum*, *Gliricidia* and *Moringa* foliage were harvested from established pastures and cassava leaves from the arable crop farm of the Federal College of Agriculture, Akure. They were allowed to wilt overnight after which *Panicum* was chopped to a length of about 10 cm before being fed to the animals. Each day, weighed quantities of feed were given to the animals and the left-over measured the following day to determine the voluntary feed intake. Salt lick was also provided ad-libitum throughout the duration of the experiment.

Feed offered and left over were sampled daily and bulked together for proximate compositions according to A.O.A.C. (1995). All the animals were weighed at the beginning of the trial and subsequently at weekly intervals. Weighing was carried out

with the aid of a mobile metallic weighing crate on which a standard 100 kg Salter scale was mounted. Data collected was used to calculate the average daily weight gains for individual animals.

On the last day of the growth trial, three rams from each treatment were slaughtered. They were previously starved overnight and were weighed on the day of and just before slaughter. Thereafter the carcasses were flayed and eviscerated using sharp knives and then washed. The head and legs (trotters) were removed and weighed. The dressed carcasses were also weighed to obtain the warm dressing percentage and then divided into the primary cuts (Devendra and McLeroy, 1982) namely shoulder, rack, loin, breast, flank and leg. These and other body organs were weighed. Dressing percentage was also calculated for each animal.

The data collected were subjected to analysis of variance using the general linear model (GLM) procedure of MINITAB (2000) to determine the effects of sex and dietary treatments on the various parameters studied. Where significant F-values for treatment effects were found, means were compared by Fisher's Pairwise Comparism in the MINITAB software.

Results and Discussion

The proximate compositions of the experimental feeds are shown in Table 1. Crude protein (CP) content was higher for the browse species and cassava leaves than for *P. maximum* while crude fibre (CF) content of *P. maximum* was more than double that for *Moringa* and *Gliricidia* and more than triple that in cassava foliage. This is in agreement with Leng (1997) who stated that roughages such as grasses contain greater quantities of structural components and therefore more fibre than other livestock feed resources. On

the other hand, nitrogen free extract (NFE) content was highest in cassava leaf suggesting that it could be a better source of readily fermentable carbohydrates than the other forages used in this study. The higher CP content of *P. maximum* than values given by other authors (Arigbede *et al.*, 2005; Babayemi *et al.*, 2006) could be due to the fact that this present study was carried out during the early rainy season (April – July) when tropical grasses are known to contain higher crude protein.

Values for grass, supplement and total DM intake are given in Table 2. Grass intake was significantly higher ($P<0.05$) for animals on the control diet compared to those on the supplemented diets because the former were offered a higher proportion (100 %) than the latter (75 %). *Panicum* intake by animals fed browse supplements were statistically similar ($P>0.05$) but supplement intake was higher ($P<0.05$) for *Gliricidia* than *Moringa* and cassava foliage supplemented groups. This is probably because the animals were more familiar with *Gliricidia* which is commonly fed to small ruminants in the locality where the animals were purchased, since according to Ikhimioya (2008), small ruminants readily accept feeds with which they have had previous experience.

Table 2 also show that the ratios of grass to supplement intake were higher for animals fed cassava and *Moringa* foliage than animals supplemented with *Gliricidia* and that while the proportion of grass relative to *Moringa* and cassava foliage consumed were respectively higher than the proportion offered, that of grass relative to *Gliricidia* was lower. Based on the assertion of Jayasuriya (2002) that ideal supplements should not reduce intake of the basal diet but instead have the potential for enhancing it, *Moringa* and cassava foliage therefore seem to have better value than *Gliricidia* as supplements to poor quality ruminant feeds.

Total DM intake was similar for all the treatments except treatment 4 which was significantly lower ($P < 0.05$) than treatment 3. The least DM intake recorded for cassava foliage was probably because the animals took longer time to adapt to this feed and this could be due to the time required for the rumen flora to become adapted to metabolizing the cyanide presumably liberated in the rumen (Theng *et al.*, 2003). DM intake ($\text{g/kgW}^{0.75}/\text{day}$) which ranged between 74.6 for treatment 4 and 92.7 for treatment 3 is lower compared to 87.1 – 107.8 reported by Adegbola *et al.* (1990) but it is higher than the ranges 49.0 – 64.0, 49.5 – 61.2 and 59.0 – 74.0 reported by Adeleye *et al.* (2004), Sarwatt *et al.* (1990) and Tuah *et al.* (1994) respectively. According to Oladotun *et al.* (2003), variations in feed intake can be attributed to differences in breed, body weight, type of diet and length of time spent on the diet. Matthewman (1977) also stated that feed intake is greatly influenced by the palatability of the feed and animals' level of productivity. Type of diet seems to be the major difference in the reports cited above and that in the present study. Therefore the higher DM intake recorded by Adegbola *et al.* (1990) followed by that in this study could be attributed to higher palatability of the diets used in the two studies than those in the other works cited.

DM intake as percent live weight which ranged between 4.03 and 5.02 % compares favourably with 4.5 % average reported by Mejia *et al.* (1991) which according to the authors is at the high end of the range of expected values for tropical hairy sheep. According to Preston and Leng (1987), a high intake is an indication that the diet is giving rise to an adequate balance of nutrients at the site of metabolism. It can be inferred therefore that the supplementation employed in this study, at about 25 % of the total

dietary DM, was adequate in ensuring an optimum feed intake for normal growth and development of small ruminants.

As shown in Table 3, CP intake in treatments 2 and 3 were similar and higher than composite values in treatments 1 and 4. This suggests that diets supplemented with *Gliricidia* and *Moringa* were capable of furnishing the animals higher quantities of CP than the ones supplemented with cassava leaves and the non-supplemented (control) diet. The highest CP intake recorded in treatment 3 could also explain the highest DM intake obtained in animals on this treatment (Table 2) because dietary protein supplementation is known to improve DM intake by increasing the supply of N to the rumen microbes (Sarwatt *et al.*, 1990). This has a positive effect of increasing microbial population and efficiency thus enabling them to increase the rate of breakdown of the digesta. As the rate of breakdown and passage of the digesta increases, feed intake increase accordingly (Van Soest, 1982).

CF and ash intake were not significantly different ($P>0.05$) probably because of the higher CF and ash contents of *P. maximum* and its much higher inclusion levels in the experimental diets compared to the other feedstuffs. EE intake was also similar and this could be due to the similarity in the EE composition of the feedstuffs (Table 1). On the other hand, NFE intake in treatment 3 was significantly different ($P<0.01$) from the other treatments. This suggests that fibrous diets supplemented with *Gliricidia sepium* could be a potential source of fermentable carbohydrates which according to Leng (1997) are needed in the rumen to provide energy for growth of microbial cells to enhance degradation of fibrous feed materials.

Live weight changes of the animals are shown in Table 4. These values are generally low compared with values reported elsewhere for WAD sheep (Adegbola *et al*, 1990; Adeleye *et al*, 2004; Oladotun *et al*, 2003; Adebowale and Ademosun, 1981; Sumberg *et al*, 1982; Baiden *et al*, 2007). The reason could be because all these authors fed supplementary compounded rations which were probably more palatable and digestible than the forages offered in this present study resulting in higher intake and better growth performance of the animals. However, at 9.73 g day⁻¹, overall average growth rate in this study almost triple the 3.5 g day⁻¹ obtained by Tuah *et al*. (1994) who offered graded levels of palm kernel cake as replacement for cassava peels to WAD sheep. The authors adduced the low performance of the animals to dietary deficiency of rumen degradable nitrogen due to the low intake of the supplement. This is probably not applicable in this present study.

According to Ogebe *et al*. (1995), growth rate is influenced by a combination of factors which include management type, season of the year, age and physiological state of the animal. The low growth rates recorded in this study could therefore be attributed to the management system of total confinement which the animals, purchased from the open market, probably found difficult to adapt to. Wilson (1991) stated that the average daily weight gain of sheep was better under farm rearing conditions than on station most probably because of heavier parasitic burden and related health and productivity problems due to the confined husbandry in station. It is conceivable that this problem is more acute in the rainforest zone particularly during the rainy season when this study was carried out. However this season coincides with the period of abundant availability and higher nutritive value of tropical forages. This is probably why animals on the control

(sole *Panicum*) diet gained weight (though marginally) contrary to Ademosun (1994) who stated that the use of grass such as *P. maximum* or *Cynodon nlemfuensis* alone was not adequate to allow optimum production in sheep and goats and that the animals lose weight unless they have access to supplementary feeds. This was corroborated by Alli-Balogun *et al.* (2003) who recorded a growth rate of -30.5 g/day in Yankasa/WAD sheep crosses fed sole gamba grass (*Andropogon gayanus*).

Average growth rates of animals on treatments 2 and 4 were comparable and were better than those on treatment 3. This could be another indication that *Moringa* and cassava foliage probably have better value than *Gliricidia* leaves as supplements to poor quality fibrous feeds. Moreover, feed conversion ratio was lowest in treatment 2, suggesting that the animals probably utilized *Moringa* better than the other supplements. The results presented in Table 4 also show that female animals had better growth rates than the males under study (11.0 versus 8.4 g day⁻¹), although the difference was not statistically significant ($P>0.05$). This contradicts an earlier finding (Gatenby, 1991) that male sheep usually grow faster than their female counterparts.

Average mortality in this study at 8.3 % is lower than the range of 10 – 30 % typical of traditionally managed flocks in the tropics (Gatenby, 1991). The fact that mortality were recorded in treatments 1 and 4 and none in treatments 2 and 3 is probably an indication that supplementation with either *Moringa* or *Gliricidia* is capable of sustaining a favourable health status in small ruminants whereas feeding grass supplemented with cassava leaves or sole grass which is the typical diet of traditionally managed sheep and goats in parts of Nigeria could predispose the animals to varying degrees of mortality

probably because of deficiency of critical nutrients and/or presence of anti-nutritional factors.

The data presented in Table 5 showed that there were no significant differences ($P>0.05$) in the carcass parameters examined in this study. In numerical terms however, slaughter weight was highest in treatment 2 followed by treatment 4, dressed weight was highest in treatment 4 followed by treatment 2, while warm dressing percentage was least in treatment 1 and highest in treatment 4. The average dressing percentage of 33.9 % was lower than 43.6 % reported by Wilson (1991). This could be due to differences in live weight which was 11.3 kg in this study compared with 19.6 kg in the report cited.

The relative contribution of various organs to dressed weight as shown in Table 6 is similar ($P>0.05$) across the treatments. In a study with Malawian sheep, Kamwanja *et al.* (1985) reported a value of 3.5 % lung, lower than the average of 4.46 % recorded in this study. The same authors obtained 5.1% liver, 2.5% heart and 4.4 % testes, higher than obtained in this present study. These variations could be due to breed differences and age of the animals.

Conclusion

This study demonstrated that WAD sheep supplemented with *M. oleifera* had a comparable dry matter intake with those supplemented with *Gliricidia*, better than those on cassava supplementation. Sheep on *Moringa* supplementation also had comparable growth rates with those supplemented with cassava leaves, better than those supplemented with *Gliricidia* as well as those not supplemented. Feed conversion efficiency was best in *Moringa*-supplemented diets. Effects of *M. oleifera*, *Gliricidia* and cassava leaves supplementation on carcass characteristics were not statistically

significant. Sex effect did not produce any significant difference in all the parameters. At 25% level of supplementation, *Moringa oleifera* is probably a superior alternative to *Gliricidia* in small ruminant diets.

References

- Adebowale E. A. and Ademosun A. A. (1981) Studies on the utilization of brewers' dried grains by sheep and goats: 1. Growth studies. *OAU/STRC Bulletin of Animal Health and Production in Africa* 29(4): 365-370.
- Adegbola A. A., Smith O. B. and Okeudo N. J. (1990) Responses of WAD sheep fed cassava peel and poultry manure based diets. In: Utilization of research results on forage and agricultural by-products materials as animal feed resources in Africa. Proceedings of a workshop held in Malawi 5 – 9 Dec. 1988. B. H. Dzowela, A. N. Said, Astrat Wendern-Agenehu and J. A. Kategile (eds.) PANESA/ARNAB, Addis-Ababa, Ethiopia pp 833 – 839.
- Adeleye A. M., Aina A. B. J. and Adu I. F. (2004) The use of "kaun" (local potash) as mineral source in the diet of West African Dwarf sheep. *Nigerian Journal of Animal Production*, 31(2): 220-229.
- Ademosun A. A. (1994) Constraints and prospects for small ruminant research and development in Africa. In: Small Ruminant Research and Development in Africa. Proceedings of the 2nd Biennial Conference of the African Small Ruminant Research Network held in Arusha, Tanzania 7 – 11 Dec., 1992. S. H. B. Lebbie, B. Rey and E. K. Irungu (eds.) ILCA/CTA.

- 273 Alli-Balogun J. K., Lakpini C. A. M., Alawa J. P., Mohammed A. and Nwata J. A. (2003)
- 274 Evaluation of cassava foliage as protein supplement for sheep. *Nigerian Journal of*
- 275 *Animal Production*, 30(1): 37-46.
- 276 A.O.A.C. (1995). Official methods of analysis of the Association of Official Analytical
- 277 Chemists. 16th ed. Arlington, Virginia, U.S.A.
- 278 Arigbede O. M., Olanite J. A. and Bamikole M. A. (2005). Intake, performance and
- 279 digestibility of West African Dwarf goats supplemented with graded levels of *Grewia*
- 280 *pubescens* and *Panicum maximum*. *Nigerian Journal of Animal Production*, 32(2):
- 281 293 – 300.
- 282 Babayemi O. J., Ajayi F. T., Taiwo A. A., Bamikole M. A. and Fajimi A. K. (2006).
- 283 Performance of WAD goats fed *P. maximum* and concentrate diets supplemented with
- 284 lablab (*Lablab purpureus*), Leucaena (*Leucaena leucocephala*) and Gliricidia
- 285 (*Gliricidia sepium*) foliage. *Nigerian Journal of Animal Production*, 33(1): 102 – 111.
- 286 Baiden R. Y., Rhule S. W. A., Otsyina H. R., Sottie E. T. and Ameleke G. (2007).
- 287 Performance of WAD sheep and goats fed varying levels of cassava pulp as
- 288 replacement for cassava peels. *Livestock Research for Rural Development* 19(3).
- 289 <http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd19/3/baid19035.htm>
- 290 Baumer M. (1992). Trees as browse and to support animal production. In: Legume trees
- 291 and other fodder trees as protein sources for livestock. A. Speedy and P. Pugliese
- 292 (eds.) FAO Animal Production and Health Paper 102. FAO Rome, Italy.
- 293 Devendra C. and McLeroy G. B. (1982) Goat and sheep production in the tropics.
- 294 Longman Publishers, Singapore pp 224.

- 295 Fadiyimu A. A., Fajemisin A. N., Alokun J. A. and Aladesanwa R. D. (2011) Effect of
 296 cutting regimes on seasonal fodder yields of *Moringa oleifera* in the tropical
 297 rainforest of Nigeria. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 23(2).
 298 <http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd23/2/fadi2302.htm>
- 299 Gatenby R. M. (1991). Sheep. In: The tropical agriculturalist. R. Coste and A. J. Smith
 300 (eds.) Macmillan Education Ltd. London.
- 301 Ikhimioya I. (2008) Acceptability of selected common shrubs/tree leaves in Nigeria by
 302 West African Dwarf goats. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 20 (6).
 303 <http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd20/6/ikhi20090.htm>
- 304 Jayarasiya M. C. N. (2002) Principles of ration formulation for ruminants. In:
 305 Development and field evaluation of animal feed supplementation packages.
 306 Proceedings of the final review meeting of an IAEA Technical Cooperation Regional
 307 AFRA Project held in Cairo, Egypt 25 – 29 Nov., 2000.
- 308 Kamwanja L. A., Ayoade J. A. and Makhambera T. P. E. (1985) Characterization of
 309 small ruminants in the Mitundu area, Lilongwe, Malawi. In: R.T. Wilson & D.
 310 Bourzat (eds.) Small ruminants in African agriculture. Proceedings of a conference
 311 held at Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. 30 September - 4 October, 1985. ILCA, Ethiopia.
- 312 Leng R. A. (1997) Tree foliage in ruminant nutrition. FAO Animal Production and
 313 Health Paper 139, FAO Rome, Italy.
- 314 Matthewman R. W. (1977) A survey of small livestock production at the village level in
 315 the derived savannah and lowland forest zones of south-west Nigeria. MSc Thesis,
 316 University of Reading. 174 pp.

- 317 Mejia C. E., Rosales M., Vargas J. E. and Murgueitio E. (1991) Intensive production
318 from African hair sheep fed sugar cane tops, multinutritional blocks and tree foliage.
319 *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 3(1).
320 <http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd3/1/mejia.htm>
- 321 MINITAB (2000) Minitab Statistical Software, Release 10.2. Minitab Inc., State College,
322 PA, USA.
- 323 M'tenga L. A., Komwihangilo D. M. and Kifaro G. C. (1994) Selectivity in goats fed
324 *Albizia*, *Gliricidia*, *Leucaena* and *Tamarind* multipurpose trees. In: Small Ruminant
325 Research and Development in Africa. Proceedings of the 2nd Biennial Conference of
326 the African Small Ruminant Research Network held in Arusha, Tanzania 7 – 11 Dec.,
327 1992. S. H. B. Lebbie, B. Rey and E. K. Irungu (eds.) ILCA/CTA.
- 328 Murro J. K., Muhikambe V. R. M. and Sarwatt S. V. (2003) *Moringa oleifera* leaf meal
329 can replace cottonseed cake in the concentrate mix fed with Rhodes grass (*Chloris*
330 *gayana*) hay for growing sheep. *Livestock Research for Rural Development* 15(11).
331 <http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd15/11/murr1511.htm>
- 332 Ogebe P. O., Ogunmodede B. K. and McDowell L. R. (1995) Growth and reproductive
333 characteristics of Nigerian southern goats raised by varying management systems.
334 *Livestock Research for Rural Development* 7 (1). <http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd7/1/7.htm>
- 335 Oladotun O. A., Aina A. B. J. and Oguntona E. B. (2003) Evaluation of formulated agro-
336 industrial wastes as dry season feed for sheep. *Nigerian Journal of Animal*
337 *Production*, 30(1):71 – 80.
- 338 Preston T. R. (1992) The role of multipurpose trees in integrated farming systems for the
339 wet tropics. In: Legume trees and other fodder trees as protein sources for livestock.

- 340 A. Speedy and P. Pugliese (eds.) FAO Animal Production and Health Paper 102.
341 FAO Rome, Italy.
- 342 Preston T. R. and Leng R. A. (1987) Matching ruminant production systems with
343 available resources in the tropics and sub-tropics. Penambul Books, Armidale pp 22
344 – 42.
- 345 Sarwatt S. V., Mkiwa F. E. J., Lwoga A. B. and Dzowela B. H. (1990) Nutritive value of
346 *Crotolaria ochroleuca*: II. The effect of supplementation on feed utilization and
347 performance of growing sheep. In: Utilization of research results on forage and
348 agricultural by-products materials as animal feed resources in Africa. Proceedings of
349 a workshop held in Malawi 5 – 9 Dec. 1988. B. H. Dzowela, A. N. Said, Astrat
350 Wendern-Agenehu and J. A. Kategile (eds.) PANESA/ARNAB, Adiss-Ababa,
351 Ethiopia.
- 352 Smith O. B. (1992) A review of ruminant responses to cassava-based diets. In: Cassava
353 as livestock feed in Africa. S.K. Khan, L. Reynolds and G.N. Egbunike (eds.)
354 Proceedings of IITA/ILCA/University of Ibadan workshop on the potential utilization
355 of cassava as livestock feed in Africa held in Ibadan, Nigeria 14 – 18 November,
356 1988.
- 357 Sumberg J. E., Okali C. and Carew B. A. R. (1982) A role for small ruminants in
358 sustainable cropping systems in the humid zone of West Africa. ILCA Humid Zone
359 Programme Document no. 3, ILCA Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- 360 Theng Kouch, Preston T. R. and Ly J. (2003) Studies on utilization of trees and shrubs as
361 the sole feedstuff by growing goats; foliage preferences and nutrient utilization.

362 *Livestock Research for Rural Development* 15(7).

363 <http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd15/7/kouc157.htm>

364 Tuah A. K., Orskov E. R., Obese F. Y., Okai D. B., Greenhalgh J. F. D. (1994) The effect
365 of supplementation of cassava peel (CP) diets with graded levels of palm kernel cake
366 (PKC) on the performance of growing Djallonke sheep. In: Small Ruminants
367 Research and Development in Africa. Proceedings of the Conference of the African
368 Small Ruminant Research Network held in Arusha, Tanzania 7 – 11 Dec., 1992. S. H.
369 B. Lebbie, B. Rey and E. K. Irungu (eds.) ILCA/CTA pp 163 – 167.

370 Van Soest, P. J. (1982). Nutritional ecology of the ruminant. O & B Books Inc.,
371 Corvallis, Oregon, U.S.A.

372 Wilson R. T. (1991) Small ruminant productions and the small ruminant genetic resource
373 in tropical Africa. FAO Animal Production and Health Paper No. 88. FAO, Rome,
374 Italy.

375 **Table 1: Proximate composition of *Panicum*, *Moringa*, *Gliricidia* and cassava**
376 **leaves**

Composition (%)	<i>P. maximum</i>	<i>M. oleifera</i>	<i>G. sepium</i>	Cassava leave	SEM
Dry Matter*	24.27	21.48	31.60	17.60	5.12
Organic Matter	88.08	92.46	91.00	93.86	2.14
Crude Protein	12.17	29.68	24.38	22.40	4.14
Crude Fibre	37.37	16.98	14.00	9.55	8.17
Ether Extract	4.07	5.78	3.00	4.40	0.99
Ash	11.92	7.54	9.00	6.14	2.14
Nitrogen Free	34.47	40.11	49.62	57.51	8.83

Extract

377

*DM, % in fresh sample, others % in DM

378 **Table 2 Effect of feeding *Moringa*, *Gliricidia* or Cassava foliage as supplements to *Panicum maximum* on**
 379 **Voluntary Feed Intake in WAD Sheep**

Treatment	Sex	Grass Intake (g day ⁻¹)	Supplement Intake (g day ⁻¹)	DM Intake (g day ⁻¹)	DM Intake (g/day/kgW ^{0.75})	DM Intake (% LW)	Ratio of Grass Intake to Supplement Intake
1	F	535.70	-	535.70	82.83	4.78	100:0
	M	509.60	-	509.60	80.40	4.39	100:0
	Mean	522.65 ^a	-	522.65 ^{ab}	81.62	4.56	100:0
2	F	351.90	110.25	462.15	83.44	3.84	76.1:23.9
	M	433.10	126.90	560.00	85.94	4.77	77.3:22.7
	Mean	392.50 ^b	118.58 ^b	511.08 ^{ab}	84.69	4.31	76.8:23.2
3	F	393.20	162.07	555.27	90.70	5.14	70.8:29.2
	M	411.60	183.80	595.40	94.73	4.89	69.1:30.9
	Mean	402.40 ^b	172.93 ^a	575.33 ^a	92.72	5.02	69.9:30.1
4	F	380.10	104.83	484.93	74.75	4.01	78.4:21.6

M	372.30	96.25	468.55	74.54	4.04	79.5:20.5
Mean	376.20 ^b	100.54 ^b	476.74 ^b	74.65	4.03	78.9:21.1
Stat. significance						
Dietary effect	**	***	*	NS	NS	
Sex effect	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	
Diet x sex effect	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	

^{a, b} Means within the same column with different superscripts are significantly different (**P<0.01, ***P<0.001);

Means±S.E, F=Female, M=Male, NS=Not significant (P>0.05), LW=Live weight

386 **Table 3. Effect of feeding *Moringa*, *Gliricidia* or Cassava foliage as supplements to**
387 ***Panicum maximum* on Nutrient Intake in WAD Sheep**

Treatment	Sex	CP Intake (g day ⁻¹)	CF Intake (g day ⁻¹)	NFE Intake (g day ⁻¹)	EE Intake (g day ⁻¹)	Ash Intake (g day ⁻¹)
1	F	97.34	168.05	184.66	21.80	63.86
	M	92.59	159.86	175.66	20.74	60.74
	Mean	94.96 ^b	163.96	180.16 ^b	21.27	62.30
2	F	96.66	129.11	165.52	20.69	50.26
	M	116.36	157.41	200.19	24.96	61.19
	Mean	106.51 ^{ab}	143.26	182.85 ^b	22.83	55.73
3	F	110.96	146.04	215.96	20.87	61.46
	M	119.60	154.66	233.08	22.27	65.60
	Mean	115.28 ^a	150.35	224.52 ^a	21.57	63.53
4	F	92.55	129.25	191.31	20.08	51.74
	M	89.21	125.98	183.69	19.39	50.29
	Mean	90.88 ^b	127.62	187.50 ^b	19.74	51.02
Stat. significance						
Dietary effect		**	NS	**	NS	NS
Sex effect		NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

388 ^{a, b} Means within the same column with different superscripts are significantly
389 different (**P<0.01); F=Female, M=Male, NS=Not significant (P>0.05)

Table 4: Growth rate, feed conversion ratio (FCR) and mortality in WAD sheep fed *Moringa*, *Gliricidia* or cassava leaves as supplements to *Panicum maximum*

Treatment	Sex	Initial Liveweight (Kg)	Final Liveweight (Kg)	Weight Gained (Kg)	Growth Rate (g day ⁻¹)	FCR (g/W ^{0.75} Feed:gGain)	Mortality (n)
1	F	11.65	12.05	0.40	4.76	17.40	1
	M	11.03	11.73	0.70	8.30	9.69	0
	Mean	11.34	11.89	0.55	6.53 ^b	13.55 ^a	-
2	F	9.00	9.80	0.80	9.52	8.76	0
	M	11.07	12.17	1.10	13.10	6.56	0
	Mean	10.70	11.49	0.95	11.31 ^{ab}	7.66 ^b	-
3	F	10.33	11.20	0.87	10.36	8.75	0
	M	11.07	11.60	0.53	6.31	15.01	0
	Mean	10.70	11.40	0.70	8.34 ^b	11.88 ^{ab}	-
4	F	10.47	12.10	1.63	19.44	3.85	0
	M	11.10	11.60	0.50	5.96	12.51	1

	Mean	10.78	11.85	1.07	12.74 ^a	8.18 ^b	-
Overall Mean		10.88±0.27	11.66±0.22	0.82±0.20	9.73±2.44	10.32±2.48	
Stat. significance							
Dietary effect		NS	NS	NS	*	*	
Sex effect		NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	
Diet × sex effect		NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	

392 ^{a, b} Means within the same column with different superscripts are significantly different (*P<0.05); Means±S.E; F=Female;

393 M=Male; NS=Not significant (P>0.05)

Table 5: Carcass characteristics of WAD sheep fed *Moringa*, *Gliricidia* or cassava foliage supplements

PARAMETERS	TREATMENTS				MEAN	SEM	SS
	1	2	3	4			
Live weight (kg)	10.90	12.10	10.65	11.60	11.31	0.57	NS
Slaughter weight (kg)	9.25	10.40	8.70	10.20	9.64	0.69	NS
Dressed weight (kg)	3.55	4.00	3.55	4.25	3.84	0.30	NS
Warm dressing (%)*	32.57	33.06	33.33	36.64	33.90	1.44	NS
Head (%)	23.38	29.00	23.66	24.24	25.07	2.29	NS
Feet (%)	9.30	9.50	8.73	8.00	8.88	0.58	NS
Skin (%)	25.35	27.25	23.10	21.18	24.22	2.29	NS
Neck (%)	8.45	7.25	10.42	8.94	8.77	1.14	NS
Shoulder (%)	21.13	23.25	22.25	24.00	22.66	1.08	NS
Rack (%)	9.58	8.75	6.48	10.12	8.73	1.39	NS
Loin (%)	9.01	9.50	8.17	7.76	8.61	0.68	NS
Leg (%)	29.86	32.00	29.58	32.94	31.10	1.42	NS
Breast (%)	7.61	9.25	10.99	9.88	9.43	1.22	NS
Flank (%)	2.82	3.50	3.10	3.53	3.24	0.29	NS

*Warm dressing, % live weight, others % dressed weight; Mean±S.E, NS = Not Significant

Table 6: Relative organ characteristics* of WAD sheep fed *Moringa*, *Gliricidia* or cassava foliage supplements

PARAMETERS	TREATMENTS				OVERALL	SEM	SS
	1	2	3	4	MEAN		
Liver (%)	4.07	5.54	4.98	4.08	4.86	0.61	NS
Kidney (%)	0.99	1.31	1.29	1.14	1.18	0.13	NS
Lung (%)	5.36	3.91	4.34	4.22	4.46	0.54	NS
Spleen (%)	0.53	0.48	0.52	0.40	0.48	0.05	NS
Heart (%)	1.48	1.47	1.72	1.62	1.57	0.10	NS
Testes (%)	1.49	3.44	2.75	2.25	2.48	0.71	NS
Stomach** (%)	11.25	12.24	11.32	10.66	11.37	0.57	NS
Small intestine (%)	6.76	7.18	7.31	5.56	6.70	0.69	NS
Large intestine (%)	4.18	3.18	3.89	3.02	3.57	0.48	NS
Caecum (%)	1.55	1.48	1.60	1.47	1.53	0.05	NS

*As % dressed weight; **Stomach = rumen+reticulum+omasum+abomasum; SEM =

Standard error of mean; SS = Statistical significance; NS = Not Significant