



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_30688
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysing the nexus between climate variability and tomato (<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>) production in the Offinso North District, Ghana
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This was an excellent report on very thorough research. The literature review was thorough; the methodology was painstakingly thorough and incorporated the use of sufficient numbers of samples in dust size analysis and explosion tests. It is comprehensive and completely justifies the authors' recommendation n/a 1. **. Ndoh M.I, Bitondo D, Balgah RA (2016). Climate Variability and Change in the Bamenda Highlands of North Western Cameroon: Perceptions, Impacts and Coping Mechanisms. British Journal of Applied Science & Technology, 12(5): 1-18	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	For L13-16: farmers perceive climate variability to be caused by anthropogenic factors (.... %) and spiritual forces (.... %) For L16, let readers know the level of test. For example, you may say, "at the 6% level, the regression model indicated a significant Between ... and(p=.05) Discussion of results could be richer if author tries to link his findings with those obtained elsewhere. For example,	The percentages of the various causes of climate variability as perceived by the respondents have been attached. The level of confidence of the regression analysis has been incorporated into the area specified. Indeed, some of the findings of the study have



SDI Review Form 1.6

	at the end of L198 author could say, “similar findings had been reported elsewhere (e.g. Ndoh et al 2015**,.....).	been supported with appropriate findings elsewhere (e.g. lines 300, 306, 318 etc)
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	n/a	