



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_30574
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the Relationship and Impact of Climatic Factors on West Tennessee Corn and Soybean Yields from 1955 to 2013
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		Comment L5: Paragraph information is based on one study (Karentinkov et al., 2008). Added citation at the beginning of the paragraph. Line 160: Square miles and acres were left in because the study area. Individuals in the study area (especially local producers) measure land based on acreage and square mileage.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1. We need more details about the difference of the linear regression models results during in the growing season, the growing phase and the harvesting phase stage. 2. "Conclusion" needs to be described more deeply.	Bushels per acre is used because it is the yield measurement used by producers in the study area. Added statements to indicate that all other models were not significant. Conclusion shortened.