



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_30574
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the Relationship and Impact of Climatic Factors on West Tennessee Corn and Soybean Yields from 1955 to 2013
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The Author has failed to put strong supporting citations on his manuscript. He should read views of other Authors who previous undertook similar studies. The Author need to improve the flow of the Material and Method	Study is based on prior studies in other areas which have been cited. This study is new addition to existing literature for a climatic zone of interest. This is one of the first studies conducted for the area (western Tennessee).
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The Author should be carefully on repletion of words and abbreviations should be taken into consideration when developing the manuscripts	Highlighted corrections were made.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		Disclaimer was reworted.