



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_30396
Title of the Manuscript:	Feeds and Forage Availability, Utilization and Management practices for Livestock in some selected Coastal Areas of Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Row 40 – ‘quality and quality’. I think it is repeated. Row 43 - The meaning of acronyms should appear the first time mentioned in the text. Row 213 - Change ‘statistical analysis’ by ‘descriptive analysis’, because in the table are presented only frequency results.	Done Done Done
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The work could present more current references, if any. Of 13 references, only 3 are from the last 10 years.	In addition 4 current references
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The issue is current and is very well written and presents interesting results. The manuscript it was written in a clear and concise. The results were presented clearly and concisely through the tables. I suggest doing correlation analysis with the data presented. The authors may find interesting results.	