



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_30216
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of Bread Wheat to Sowing Dates and the Genotypes in Morocco
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript concerns the comparison of the three bread wheat varieties sown at two different dates in two locations of Morocco. The text of the manuscript and methodology is correct, however, the methodology should be complemented with information such as: the sowing density, cultivation practice used, fertilization used (comments in the text). Description of the results is also not enough accurate (comments in the text). The data shown in the first and second figure are the same as in tables 4 and 5. Information presented in the figure should not be repeated in the table. I suggest you remove the figures 1 and 2, especially that in the text there is no reference to figure 2. Otherwise part of the data show as a figures and part of the data present in tables. Statistical analyses are correct. Chapter Conclusions is partly unclear (the first sentence is incorrectly formulated). All the references cited relevant and adequate.	All requested corrections have been taken in to consideration. The corrections highlighted in yellow color.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	- Correct the References according to the requirements of the journal. - Correct the font to be uniform throughout the paper.	All requested corrections have been taken in to consideration. The corrections highlighted in yellow color.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript needs language editing (comments in the text)	All requested corrections have been taken in to consideration. The corrections highlighted in yellow color.