



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_29760
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of growth rate and phenotypic traits of meristem-cultured papaya plants
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Scientific names must be in italics. Line 31, 221, 225, 226, 228, 234, 242, 253, 262, 270, 272, 273, 276, and 282: Carica papaya – should be in italics. References both in text and Reference section are not according to the format of the journal. Author may be asked to revise the references as per the format of the journal and resubmit. Line 91: It should be Table 1, not Figure 1. Table Heading should be at the top, not at the bottom. Once you change this numbers of other figures change. In vitro at some places is in italics, at other places in normal letters, it should be uniform. Measurements at certain places given in centimetres at other places given in inches, it should be uniform. Conclusion: Somaclonal variations generally occur only when they are regenerated via callus, directly regenerated plants from meristem generally does not show somaclonal variation – Author please check this aspect. Journal names at some places in italics, at other places in normal letters, follow the journal format Line 248: pepaya –papaya Morton and Usman references repeated. Line 275: Authors names are all capital letters. Usman reference journal volume and pages missing	Line 31, 221, 225, 226, 228, 234, 242, 253, 262, 270, 272, 273, 276, and 282: Carica papaya – should be in italics. All have been corrected. Reference format noted and will be done as the last thing if the paper is accepted for publication and be resubmitted since it's been reviewed Line 91. The mistake on tables and figures noted and corrections made. In vitro- mistakes noted and corrected Measurements units corrected as required Mistake on somaclonal variation noted and corrected. Journal names collected Line 248: collected Line 275 : authors names corrected Usman references corrected
Minor REVISION comments		I want to thank the reviewer for being objective and doing a thorough work
Optional/General comments		