



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_29340
Title of the Manuscript:	Farmer Participatory Research for Development of Crop-specific Nutrient Management Practice under Organic Farming System
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	-Sequence of Abstract and Introduction is necessary - Economic analysis of packages to determine profitability if adopted	We agree. But, the journal template/author guidelines suggest topical abstract. We have done this and added a column in Table 8a & 8b that shows cost of production, and cost of labour and total labour per decimal of land * Please note that we have not tried to show the profitability of the packages in this article itself. This article solely tries to demonstrate the participatory nutrient sourcing mechanism for different farming systems. The next part of our research will certainly address this issue in the form of a separate article.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Insert of year of research for cited literatures	We have inserted them as per the Author Guidelines.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		