



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_29275
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic diversity analysis of medicinal plant Cassia alata in Andaman Island through ISSR marker
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	On these keywords, authors use terms that make up the title of the work and also suggest the use of RAPD molecular markers, which is an untruth. What does the quote (Ref.) means? In the material and methods, Table 1 should be called in the text and is not. Shall specify the mark of reagents used for PCR reactions. Work does not present discussion, which is critical to support the results, and neither conclusion. The references are out of date.	It was a typographical error; instead of ISSR we have used the keyword RAPD. The change has been done in the manuscript The ref has been added The table 1 has been modified The mark of reagents is not very essential to mention Modification has been done References have been added
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The work has relevance and may be published after corrections	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		