



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_29216
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of Ethanolic Leaf Extract of Chromolaena Odurata in Controlling Sitophilus Zeamais in Stored Maize
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	• Formatting throughout the manuscript is required. i.e. line 103 page 4 (what do you mean by chapter two) it looks authors just copy pasted the chapter from the thesis and sent to Journal. • Page 7 fig SE bar missing @ 0 for 24hrs • References are arranged poorly. Please reformat • Page 4 line 137.... Do not use numbers while starting new line or even new paragraph. • Page 8 fig 3.1 : all SE looks similar.....? • Please use black and white or pattern design for graph fig. • Please reformat manuscript according to journal requirement	• Formating done, "chapter two" deleted • No bar missing @ 0 for 24hrs (Fig 3.6) • References are arranged properly and formatted • "Exactly" has been used to start the line • Fig 3.1, only the last two looks similar, an outcome of the analysis • Could not use black and white • Manuscript formatted
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		In subsequent manuscripts, black and white or colour pattern will be used for similar graph