



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_29216
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of Ethanolic Leaf Extract of Chromolaena Odurata in Controlling Sitophilus Zeamais in Stored Maize
Type of the Article	Original Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	No compulsory revision required	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The formatting of the article should be done again so that the lines those are discontinued unnecessarily may be joined and the space between words may be corrected. The reference for the method selected to extract the herb should be given. Full reference Ogunleye et al., 2003 is not given in bibliography	Formatting done Chayengia et al 2010 Ogunyele et al., 2003 given
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The research work has been done well. Article is well written. The suggestion to use more doses at higher level has already been given by the author. That is required.	