



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_29132
Title of the Manuscript:	Determinants of adoption extent of IPM practices by the Rice growers: a case of Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
1. I still maintain that Line 22, BBS should be written in full at first cited. This is appropriate in order to carry your reader along. This is because not everybody knows the full meaning of BBS. Even in your reference, Line 180, it is not clearly written. What does it cost you to write it thus: (Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics (BBS), 2012) if BBS actually stands for Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics in Line 22 and reference it in line 180 as, Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics (BBS) (2012). <i>Statistical Pocket Book of Bangladesh</i>. Statistics Divisions, Ministry of planning, Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh, Dhaka. 2. I still maintain that Tables 3&4 should have contained the remaining seven factors that did not influence the adoption of IPM practices. This if done, your reader will know why and how those factors did not influence adoption. However, you may leave this as it is.	1. Followed according to reviewers comment and highlighted in yellow colour. 2. The Table 3 and 4 is the analysis result of stepwise multiple regression. In stepwise method, only the influencing factors are shown. That is why I don't put other non-significant factors in the table. I observed that in many reputed journal follow this style. Still I remain the same of the table as the reviewer say I may leave this as it is (the last line of the reviewer comment).