



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_29132
Title of the Manuscript:	Determinants of adoption extent of IPM practices by the Rice growers: a case of Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1) Write full name of IPM at least in the beginning. 2) Briefly explain the objectives of the study. 3) The orientation of the headings and sub headings should be done wisely. If possible then start the new page with the heading. (Especially concerned about page 2-3) 4) Represent the outcome of table 3 in terms of equation with using the coefficient of independent variable and draw the exact model. 5) Table 4 was constructed in a hurry please redraw the border of the table.	We did some corrections according to reviewer comments. The corrected parts are highlighted with red colour. We don't agree with some comments (no 3 and 5) as already made or matter of final set up of the paper.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		