



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_28918
Title of the Manuscript:	Determinants of cashew growers' participation in a joint contract farming-and-processing investment project in Benin
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	1] The result in the abstract should be presented in the past tense. The current state of the results looks like an expected results. 2] Figure 1 and 3 should be sourced. 3] All table in the manuscript should be sourced. Indicate if its data from field survey.	The section "Results" is reformulated accordingly. Done. Done.
Optional/General comments	There some few grammatical errors to be corrected in the manuscript, and the author(s) are suggested to seek the help of professional English editor to improve the language quality of the manuscript.	Manuscript has been checked throughout and corrected accordingly. A few full phrase reformulations are highlighted.