



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_28611
Title of the Manuscript:	Nematicidal efficacy of fluensulfone against false root-knot nematode (<i>Nacobbus aberrans</i>) in cucumber crop under field conditions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)																																																
Compulsory REVISION comments	After correction <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Line</th> <th>Inorrect</th> <th>Correct</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>127</td> <td>Differed oxamyl</td> <td>Differed from</td> </tr> <tr> <td>130</td> <td>At prior to</td> <td>uxamyl</td> </tr> <tr> <td>132133,</td> <td>Nematodes</td> <td>Ptior to</td> </tr> <tr> <td>134</td> <td>population were</td> <td>Nematode</td> </tr> <tr> <td>142</td> <td>Females</td> <td>populations were</td> </tr> <tr> <td>150</td> <td>nematodes</td> <td>Nematode</td> </tr> <tr> <td>156</td> <td>In utero?</td> <td>females</td> </tr> <tr> <td>185</td> <td>Juveniles</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>nematodes</td> <td>Juveniles of</td> </tr> <tr> <td>238</td> <td>Juvenil</td> <td>nematodes</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>And from these</td> <td>Juvenile</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>From these</td> </tr> <tr> <td>294</td> <td>The results shown</td> <td>The results have shown</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>of an</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>insecticides</td> <td>of insecticides</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Line	Inorrect	Correct	127	Differed oxamyl	Differed from	130	At prior to	uxamyl	132133,	Nematodes	Ptior to	134	population were	Nematode	142	Females	populations were	150	nematodes	Nematode	156	In utero?	females	185	Juveniles			nematodes	Juveniles of	238	Juvenil	nematodes		And from these	Juvenile			From these	294	The results shown	The results have shown		of an			insecticides	of insecticides	We agree with the reviewer I have made all changes.
Line	Inorrect	Correct																																																
127	Differed oxamyl	Differed from																																																
130	At prior to	uxamyl																																																
132133,	Nematodes	Ptior to																																																
134	population were	Nematode																																																
142	Females	populations were																																																
150	nematodes	Nematode																																																
156	In utero?	females																																																
185	Juveniles																																																	
	nematodes	Juveniles of																																																
238	Juvenil	nematodes																																																
	And from these	Juvenile																																																
		From these																																																
294	The results shown	The results have shown																																																
	of an																																																	
	insecticides	of insecticides																																																



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Please follow instructions to authors References no.7 is repeated in ref. No.22 Scientific names to be written <i>in italic</i>. Also, In vitro and in vivo to be written on italic In references no.33, no. of pages is not found What is the commercial name of fluensulfone? In References no. 21, 23,24,25,26,the year of publication not follow authors	We agree with the reviewer I have made the suggestion. We deleted reference no 22 All the scientific name are now in italic. We added the number of pages in references. We added the commercial name of fluensulfone We have rewritten the reference according to author guideless
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This research deals with the most important nematode pest infesting cucumber	