



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_26973
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of the Stimulating Paste Conservation Period and Economic Benefit of Ethrel-Palm oil Mixture in Rubber Tree Plantations in South-Eastern Côte d'Ivoire
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	You need to revisit your tenses and punctuations. There is the need to have a thorough revision taking into cognisance of the corrections highlighted. Also, try to have a look at the Journal guidelines.	The English has been improved. The corrections highlighted have been made. About the Results and discussion, it is written in the Journal guidelines that : "The results and discussion part can also be described as separate, if appropriate". So, we chose to put the results and discussion in the same chapter. In the conclusion, the major findings were already stated.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		