



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_26902
Title of the Manuscript:	ENHANCEMENT OF GROWTH AND YIELD PERFORMANCE IN TOMATO (<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i> Mill) THROUGH FOLIAR APPLICATION OF A NUTRIENT SUPPLEMENT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	See the revised manuscript.	All comments; compulsory, minor and even the optional ones had been well attended to except in cases where I had different comments from different reviewers on the same point or statement like in the case of the title, I had to follow just one of the reviewers concept.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	See the revised manuscript.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	See the revised manuscript.	