



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_26894
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Tillage Practice on Growth and Yield of three Selected Cowpea Varieties .
Type of the Article	Original Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Title is too long. Generally, 12 to 15 words would be good for a title. The author must work on general typographical errors in the manuscript e.g. the commas, full stop and bracket placements etc. Table 1 is showing non-significance. It may be necessary to remove it and say the results in a sentence or 2. The number to table is unnecessarily big. Some of the results, especially those that show more non-significance, could be in written sentence form rather than tables. The conclusion need to be strengthened to encompass the many results obtained in the study.	The title has been reviewed to 12 words The typographical errors have been corrected Tables on plant height, seeds per pod and pods per plant have been removed. Results on harvest index have remained the same after checking on the tables. The conclusion has been revised to capture the findings of the study.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		