



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                                                                                                                       |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">American Journal of Experimental Agriculture</a>                                                                                          |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJEA_26854                                                                                                                                         |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Paddy (Oryza sativa L.) production status and use of agricultural inputs in selected Districts of the Eastern and Southern Regions of Tanzania</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Original research papers</b>                                                                                                                       |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | Reviewer's comment                                                                                 | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, corrects the manuscript and highlights that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | General editorial review need be conducted on the paper. The Conclusion section need be revisited. | <b>General editorial review has been conducted on the MS and the conclusion has been revisited as suggested by the reviewer. Also see the MS on the revisited areas</b>         |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      |                                                                                                    |                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    |                                                                                                    |                                                                                                                                                                                 |