



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	_American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_26854
Title of the Manuscript:	Paddy (Oryza sativa L.) production status and use of agricultural inputs in selected Districts of the Eastern and Southern Regions of Tanzania
Type of the Article	Original research papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In the abstract, references cited may not be necessary. Lines 43, 47 should be referenced. Equations in lines 120, 132 should be numbered appropriately. The conclusion in lines 293-296 should be explicitly stated.	- Reference in the abstract has been removed as suggested by the reviewer. - L43 and 47 has not been referenced as it is considered as a general statement - Eqns in L120 and 132 has been numbered as suggested by the reviewer. The conclusion in L293 – L296 has been revisited and explicitly stated
Minor REVISION comments	The horizontal and vertical grid lines in Fig1-1, Fig1-2 should be removed.	The suggested comments has been addressed as suggested by the reviewer
Optional/General comments		