



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_25308
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Biosoil conditioner and NPK 15:15:15 fertilizers on the growth and yield of Maize (Zea mays L.) in North- Central of Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	• Improvement on the citations – some time the citations are missing and some are too old. E.g. row 58-60 • Statement of objective not clear in the introduction • Data collection methodology not well put. Which and how many plants were sampled • All graphs needs a lot of improvement. • Tables positioning need to be improved (152) • There is no discussion of the results	• The missing citations have been included in the reference section. • The statemet of objectives is stated in the introduction line 95 • Line 133 captures the data collection methodology. • Positioning of the table on soil and physical properties of the pre experimental soil is well placed after discussion of the my findings • The result of each parameter and its discussion were made together.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Consistency in treatment naming lacking e.g. row 219	It has been corrected
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		