



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_25167
Title of the Manuscript:	Feed Intake, Weight Gain and Carcass Characteristics of West African Dwarf Sheep fed Moringa oleifera, Gliricidia sepium or Cassava Fodder as Supplements to Panicum maximum
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. There are inconsistency results presented in tables . Highly recommend re- analyze the data. 2. Uniformity is needed in the use of common names and scientific names. 3. Specify abbreviations from the beginning of the manuscript. 4. Approval of experimentation with animals is not established by a committee of animal welfare. 5. Failure by citing several authors in the text. 6. Citations of articles published in scientific journals of impact are required and minimize or eliminate the use of theses and conferences on citations are required too. 7. The entire manuscript requires better English edition.	The inconsistent results have been revised The uniformity is adhered to This has been corrected where necessary The experiment was conducted under the highest ethical standard and without conflict to animal welfare laws This is not understood As much as possible this was done As much as possible this was done
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Change "proximate" by "chemical" composition. 2. An adaptation period to experimental diets is necessary. 3. The span of experiment is not mentioned.	Revised This has been revised This has been revised
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1. In ruminants, fibre crude has poor value; NDF and ADF are more important. I recommend to do this analysis according with van Soest technique.	Crude fibre value is regarded as a fair estimate of total complex carbohydrate of feed sample and where NDF and ADF values are not available CF subsist.