



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_24749
Title of the Manuscript:	Design and Validation of a Decision Support System for Runoff Water Harvesting and Irrigation - a Case Study of Beans at Ukwe, Malawi
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Manuscript was written well done. Some Tables is not clear like Table 3. So all tables should rewrite as a table 1. Section of references should be check. There are some mistakes about writing rules in that section. The references section should be written in order to alphabetical order.	Yes, tables rewritten Reference section checked and corrected, order followed: As dictated by the journal, ie as the citation appears in the main text
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It is an acceptable form.	