



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2015_AJEA_16156
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic Diversity Analysis of Ethiopian Coriander (<i>Coriandrum sativum</i> L.) Genotypes for Seed yield and Oil Content at Adami Tulu Agricultural Research Center, Ethiopia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The aim of this manuscript is an important one. About the manuscript I have nothing to comment. But, looking on Google, I found that there are some papers more or less same with this manuscript concerning the method (Mahalanobi's distance), the analyzed characters etc: <i>African Crop Science Journal</i>, Vol. 19, No. 1, pp. 39 - 47 ISSN 1021-9730/2011, Genetic divergence in ethiopian coriander accessions and its Implication in breeding of desired plant types, BEEMNET MENGESHA, GETINET ALEMAW1 and BIZUAYEHU TESFAYE2 <i>Advances in Crop Science and Technology</i>, 2013, Genetic Divergence in Ethiopian Coriander (Coriandrum sativum L.)Accessions, Miheretu Fufa <i>African Crop Science Journal</i>, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 51 – 59, 2013, Correlation studies and path coefficient analysis for seed yield and yield components in ethiopian coriander accessions, BEEMNET MENGESHA KASSAHUN, GETINET ALEMAW and BIZUAYEHU TESFAYE <i>African Crop Science Journal</i>, Vol. 18, No. 2, pp. 43 – 49, 2010, Variability in ethiopian coriander accessions for	Even iff similar works are done on this commodity the number of accessions used and the location where this work has been done is different however the comments are accepted



SDI Review Form 1.6

	agronomic and Quality traits, BEEMNET MENGESHA and GETINET ALEMA	
Minor REVISION comments	One small mistake, PH of the soil should be write pH. In my opinion, I think that the number of the tables should be like this: table 4 should be table 1, table 3 became table 2, table 1 became table 3 and table 2 became table 4.	Thanks and all the comments are incorporated in the manuscript
Optional/General comments		